

Literature in English

Singapore-Cambridge General Certificate of Education Advanced Level Higher 2 (2018) (Syllabus 9509)

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
AIMS	2
ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVES	2
ASSESSMENT STRUCTURE	3
APPENDIX A: POEMS AND SHORT PROSE SELECTED FOR EXAMINATION PURPOSES	8
APPENDIX B: ASSESSMENT CRITERIA FOR PAPER 1	12
APPENDIX C: ASSESSMENT CRITERIA FOR PAPERS 2 AND 3	19
APPENDIX D: EDITIONS USED FOR SETTING QUESTIONS	29



AIMS

To develop in students:

1. the ability to critically analyse and evaluate literary texts
2. the ability to appreciate and make informed personal responses to literary texts
3. the ability to communicate ideas effectively and persuasively
4. the ability to negotiate complexities and ambiguities, being aware of diverse perspectives
5. an awareness of the relationship between texts and their cultural contexts
6. the ability to read texts independently
7. a love for reading literature.

ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVES

Candidates should be able to:

1. make informed personal and critical responses to the texts, exploring connections between texts where appropriate, and account for their responses
2. demonstrate how the literary context of the text informs their understanding of the text
3. critically analyse and evaluate ways in which writers' choices of form, structure and language shape meanings
4. clearly communicate the knowledge, understanding and insights appropriate to literary study.

ASSESSMENT STRUCTURE

One compulsory written examination of 3 hrs duration will be set. In addition, two elective papers, each comprising a written examination of 3 hrs duration, will be set. Candidates will choose one elective paper.

ASSESSMENT MODE

The Examinations are open book: candidates will be allowed to bring copies of their set texts into the examination room.

(a) Materials that can be taken into the examination room

Only original texts (original published editions) should be taken into the examination room. Nothing else, including critical works or study notes of any kind, should be taken into the examination room. The use of dictionaries is not permitted.

(b) Underlining and highlighting of texts

Only underlining, highlighting or the use of vertical lines in the margins is permitted. Nothing else should be written in the texts. Separate pieces of paper such as post-its and tape flags are not allowed.

(c) Folding and Flagging of Pages

Any kind of folding or flagging of pages in texts (e.g. use of post-its, tape flags or paper clips) is not permitted.

QUESTION TYPES AND SPECIFICATIONS

The questions in the examination focus on three areas of skill – Response, Analysis and Comparison.

(i) **Response**

This is the candidate's ability to respond to either an unseen text extract, or a passage from a set text. In doing so, candidates will demonstrate the ability to analyse both the formal and stylistic features of the extract (Assessment Objectives **i** and **iii**). This skill is assessed in Sections A, B and C of Paper 1, and Section A of the elective papers. For the elective papers, candidates will also demonstrate the ability to relate the extract to key features of the period or topic covered by the paper (Assessment Objective **ii**).

(ii) **Analysis**

This is the candidate's ability to write a critical analysis of the set texts they have studied. In doing so, candidates will demonstrate an ability to make an informed personal and critical response to the text as a whole (Assessment Objectives **i**, **ii** and **iii**). This skill is primarily assessed in Sections B and C of both Paper 1 and the elective papers.

(iii) **Comparison**

This is the candidate's ability to critically compare and evaluate unseen texts (with regard to Paper 1) and the set texts they have studied (with regard to the elective papers). In doing so, candidates will demonstrate an ability to identify, compare and critically assess key features of each text (Assessment Objectives **i**, **ii** and **iii**). This skill is assessed in Section A of Paper 1. For each elective paper, this is assessed with appropriate reference to the literary features of the period or topic covered by the paper (Assessment Objective **ii**). This skill is assessed in Section B of the elective papers.

All of these types of questions will also require the candidate to organise and present information, ideas and arguments clearly and effectively (Assessment Objective **iv**). Their grammar, punctuation, and spelling will also be taken into account.

PAPER 1: READING LITERATURE (3 hours)

Paper 1 is a compulsory paper designed to give students broad exposure to literary study. The texts set will be texts of recognised importance and significance. Candidates will answer one question from each of the three Sections. All questions hold equal weight in the computing of marks for the paper (i.e. 33⅓% each).

Section A: Poetry

Two questions will be set, primarily focusing on response and comparison skills (see 'Question Types and Specifications'). Each question will require the candidate to respond to and critically compare two unseen poems. At least one of the questions will feature a Singaporean poem. The candidate will answer **one** question.

Section B: Prose

In this section, the student will study **one** of the following prose texts:

Mary Shelley: *Frankenstein* (1831 text)
 F Scott Fitzgerald: *The Great Gatsby*
 Anita Desai: *Baumgartner's Bombay*
 Jane Austen: *Pride and Prejudice*

Two questions will be set for each text, primarily focusing on analysis skills (see 'Question Types and Specifications'). One question will be an essay question, the other will be a passage-based question. The candidate will answer **one** question on one text.

Section C: Drama

In this section, the student will study **one** of the following drama texts:

Tom Stoppard: *Arcadia*
 William Shakespeare: *Measure for Measure*
 George Bernard Shaw: *Saint Joan*
 Arthur Miller: *Playing for Time* (stage play version)

Two questions will be set for each text, primarily focusing on analysis skills (see 'Question Types and Specifications'). One question will be an essay question, the other will be a passage-based question. The candidate will answer **one** question on one text.

All texts are set also for 2019 and 2020.

ELECTIVE PAPERS

Papers 2 and 3 are elective papers. In addition to Paper 1, candidates offer either Paper 2 or Paper 3. Paper 2 is a period-based paper, focusing on a period of literary writing. Paper 3 is topic-based, focusing on a topic of literary significance. Each elective paper will be offered for a few years and then replaced.

For all the H2 papers, candidates should be capable of commenting on the stylistic and literary features of the texts in their discussion of unseen extracts and texts studied. In other words, the ability to appreciate the text in and of its own right is assessed, alongside the ability to demonstrate knowledge of the literary context.

Candidates will answer **three** questions in the elective paper they have chosen:

- In Section A, they will answer **one** question from a choice of **two** on an unseen text extract from the period or topic that is the focus of the paper. This question will focus primarily on response and analysis skills (see 'Question Types and Specifications').
- In Section B, they will answer **one** Comparison question from a choice of **two**. The question will require them to compare two texts they have studied and will focus primarily on comparison and analysis skills (see 'Question Types and Specifications').
- In Section C, they will answer **one** question, primarily focusing on analysis skills (see 'Question Types and Specifications') from a choice of eighteen. The questions set for the topic-based papers will be related to some aspect of the topic studied. Questions on the period-based papers will deal with any aspect of the text studied. **Two** questions will be set on each of the texts below. Candidates must **not** use the same texts in Section B and Section C.

PAPER 2: THE ENGLISH RENAISSANCE (1509–1660) (3 hours)

This paper focuses on English writing from 1509 to 1660. The Renaissance period was one of ‘rebirth’ of classical ideas and art forms, characterised by the influence of the classics in literature, language, and philosophy, as well as the development of new ideas and art forms. The Literature that developed during this period was, amongst other things, an artistic engagement with many changes in society such as an increase in literacy and the abandonment of a feudal system, and their impact on morality and identity. The texts are literary explorations of the world of 16th and early 17th century England.

The student will study **three** of the following texts:

Ben Jonson: Selected Poems (see list in Appendix A)
Andrew Marvell: Selected Poems (see list in Appendix A)
Sir Philip Sidney: Selected Sonnets from ‘Astrophil and Stella’ (see list in Appendix A)
Francis Bacon: Selected Prose (see list in Appendix A)
Thomas Nashe: *The Unfortunate Traveller*
Thomas Kyd: *The Spanish Tragedy*
Christopher Marlowe: *Doctor Faustus (A-Text)*
Thomas Middleton: *Women Beware Women*
William Shakespeare: *The Tempest*

All texts are set also for 2019 and 2020.

PAPER 3: THE MIND AND SELF IN LITERATURE (3 hours)

This paper explores the relationship between the mind and self as represented in Literature. This involves examining the means by which writers offer insight into how consciousness is linked to identity, individuality and social contexts. The texts are literary explorations of how the interaction between the mind and self underscores various forms of revelation and discovery.

The student will study **three** of the following texts:

Sylvia Plath: *Ariel* (see list in Appendix A)
Elizabeth Jennings: Selected Poems (see list in Appendix A)
William Wordsworth: *The Prelude, Book One & Book Two (1805 version)*
James Joyce: *A Portrait of the Artist as a Young Man*
J.M. Coetzee: *Age of Iron*
Pat Barker: *Regeneration*
Alan Ayckbourn: *Woman in Mind*
Edward Albee: *Who’s Afraid of Virginia Woolf?*
William Shakespeare: *Hamlet*

All texts are set also for 2019 and 2020.

AREAS OF STUDY

The study of Literature at H2 level should be seen as a process of critically examining texts. In addition to the study of inherent stylistic features of texts, students should also explore the specific contexts that led to the production of these texts, as well as how readers and audiences relate to the texts. Students should engage with texts at various cognitive and affective levels. As the study of Literature covers multiple aspects which may not always be easily demarcated, the following areas of study are broad indications of the ground that could be covered in the course of teaching Literature.

(i) Literary Features

These include:

- The definition of a genre, the individual form of the text and its stylistic features.
- Study of how these features are used by authors, and to what effect, in the various texts, seen and unseen, that students encounter.

(ii) Text and Context

- An appreciation of how the texts studied relate to the contexts in which they were created, including social, cultural and historical contexts.
- Exploration of the ideologies and assumptions in the texts. A more informed interrogation of the text can be made when students are aware of the events and ideas that predominated during the period in which these texts were created.
- How texts relate to movements in artistic creation at a particular point in time. The study of literary forms is relevant here. For example, it is useful for students reading a sonnet written by a Romantic poet to have an understanding of the general features of the Romantic movement as well as knowledge of how the sonnet form has developed in Literature in English up to that point.

(iii) Language Use

- Proficiency in the use of the English language in both a functional and literary sense.
- Study of language use at the grammatical, lexical and structural levels.
- Examination of elements of style, such as register, figurative language, rhythm and language patterns.
- Understanding of the effects of the use of language to create meaning by writers from the word level right through to discourse levels.

SPECTRUM OF SKILLS

Candidates should be equipped with the following skills:

- (i) An ability to make informed personal and critical responses to the texts and account for their responses.
- (ii) An ability to understand and comment on the ways in which the historical and cultural backgrounds of text and author inform the meaning of the text.
- (iii) An ability to analyse and evaluate critically the ways in which writers' choices of form, structure and language shape meanings.
- (iv) An ability to communicate clearly knowledge, understanding and insights appropriate to literary study.

Skills	Examples
(i) Make informed personal and critical responses to the texts, exploring connections between texts where appropriate, and account for their responses.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop an informed personal response • Make connections between their own ideas and experiences and those in the text • Reflect critically on the development of their own informed response • Comment on the ways in which both content and form shape the reader's response • Demonstrate knowledge of ways in which a text invites the reader to respond
(ii) Demonstrate how the literary context of the text informs their understanding of the text.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrate knowledge of some key social, cultural and historical influences in the creation of texts • Comment on ways in which characters, viewpoints, and situations convey the social conventions, beliefs and attitudes of individuals and groups in a particular society • Identify and interpret the ideas, viewpoints and values expressed in a text • Understand literary influences and traditions and the notion of reader, text and author
(iii) Critically analyse and evaluate ways in which writers' choices of form, structure and language shape meanings.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Understand the elements of literary genres • Analyse literary form including structure, setting, character, conflict, plot, methods of characterization, themes • Analyse stylistic devices including voice, persona, symbolism, irony, mood and tone • Analyse the use of language including register, diction, tone, imagery, rhythm in a text • Recognise imaginative or dramatic techniques for creating effects • Present a sustained interpretation supported by appropriate and detailed references to the text(s) • Present an evaluative/critical comparison and make connections between two or more texts
(iv) Clearly communicate the knowledge, understanding and insights appropriate to literary study.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrate an ability to write effective literary essays to convey their knowledge and understanding of, and insight into the texts • Show an ability to use appropriate literary terms in their responses • Present a clear and coherent argument in support of their ideas

**APPENDIX A:
POEMS AND SHORT PROSE
SELECTED FOR EXAMINATION PURPOSES**

Candidates should study the following:

PAPER 2: THE ENGLISH RENAISSANCE (1509—1660)

Ben Jonson: Selected Poems

Epigrams – II To My Book
 Epigrams – XIV To William Camden
 Epigrams – XXII On My First Daughter
 Epigrams – XXIII To John Donne
 Epigrams – XXVIII On Don Surly
 Epigrams – XLII On Giles and Joan
 Epigrams – XLV On My First Son
 Epigrams – LXV To My Muse
 Epigrams – LXXVI On Lucy, Countess of Bedford
 Epigrams – XCI To Sir Horace Vere
 Epigrams – XCIV To Lucy, Countess of Bedford, with Mr Donne's Satires
 Epigrams – XCVI To John Donne
 Epigrams – CI Inviting a Friend to Supper
 The Forest – II To Penshurst
 The Forest – IV To the World
 The Forest – V Song. To Celia
 The Forest – VII Song. That Women are but Men's Shadows
 The Forest – VIII To Sickness
 The Forest – IX Song. To Celia
 The Forest – XV To Heaven
 Underwoods – V In the Person of Womankind
 Underwoods – VI Another. In Defence of Their Inconstancy. A Song
 Underwoods – VII A Nymph's Passion
 Underwoods – XVII Epistle to a Friend
 Underwoods – XVIII An Elegy
 Underwoods – XXIII An Ode. To Himself
 Underwoods – XXVIII A Sonnet, to the Noble Lady, the Lady Mary Wroth
 Underwoods – XXIX A Fit of Rhyme against Rhyme
 Underwoods – XLI An Elegy
 Miscellaneous – XV To the Memory of my Beloved, the Author Mr William Shakespeare: And What He Hath Left Us

Andrew Marvell: Selected Poems

A Dialogue, between the Resolved Soul and Created Pleasure
 On a Drop of Dew
 The Coronet
 Eyes and Tears
 Bermudas
 A Dialogue between the Soul and Body
 The Nymph Complaining for the Death of Her Fawn
 Young Love
 To his Coy Mistress
 The Unfortunate Lover
 The Gallery
 The Fair Singer
 The Definition of Love
 The Picture of Little T.C. in a Prospect of Flowers
 The Mower against Gardens
 Damon the Mower
 The Mower to the Glowworms
 The Mower's Song
 The Garden
 An Horatian Ode upon Cromwell's Return from Ireland
 Upon the Hill and Grove at Bilbrough

Sir Philip Sidney: Selected Sonnets from 'Astrophil and Stella'

- Sonnet 1 'Loving in truth, and fain in verse my love to show'
Sonnet 2 'Not at first sight, nor with a dribbed shot'
Sonnet 3 'Let dainty wits cry on the sisters nine'
Sonnet 4 'Virtue, alas, now let me take some rest'
Sonnet 5 'It is most true, that eyes are formed to serve'
Sonnet 6 'Some lovers speak, when they their muses entertain'
Sonnet 7 'When nature made her chief work, Stella's eyes'
Sonnet 8 'Love, born in Greece, of late fled from his native place'
Sonnet 9 'Queen Virtue's court, which some call Stella's face'
Sonnet 10 'Reason, in faith thou art well served, that still'
Sonnet 11 'In truth, O Love, with what a boyish kind'
Sonnet 12 'Cupid, because thou shin'st in Stella's eyes'
Sonnet 13 'Phoebus was judge between Jove, Mars and Love'
Sonnet 14 'Alas, have I not pain enough, my friend'
Sonnet 15 'You that do search for every purling spring'
Sonnet 16 'In nature apt to like, when I did see'
Sonnet 17 'His mother dear Cupid offended late'
Sonnet 18 'With what sharp checks I in myself am shent'
Sonnet 19 'On Cupid's bow how are my heart-strings bent'
Sonnet 20 'Fly, fly, my friends, I have my death wound, fly'
Sonnet 21 'Your words, my friend, right healthful caustics, blame'
Sonnet 22 'In highest way of heaven the sun did ride'
Sonnet 23 'The curious wits, seeing dull pensiveness'
Sonnet 24 'Rich fools there be, whose base and filthy heart'
Sonnet 25 'The wisest scholar of the wight most wise'
Sonnet 26 'Though dusty wits dare scorn astrology'
Sonnet 27 'Because I oft, in dark abstracted guise'
Sonnet 28 'You that with allegory's curious frame'
Sonnet 29 'Like some weak lords, neighboured by mighty kings'
Sonnet 30 'Whether the Turkish new moon minded be'
Sonnet 31 'With how sad steps, O moon, thou climb'st the skies'

Francis Bacon: Selected Prose

The Advancement of Learning (Book 1)

New Atlantis

Essays (1625):

- 'Of Truth'
- 'Of Death'
- 'Of Simulation and Dissimulation'
- 'Of Marriage and Single Life'
- 'Of Love'
- 'Of Goodness and Goodness of Nature'
- 'Of Nobility'
- 'Of Discourse'

PAPER 3: THE MIND AND SELF IN LITERATURE

Sylvia Plath: *Ariel*

Sheep in Fog
The Applicant
Lady Lazarus
Tulips
Cut
Elm
The Night Dances
Poppies in October
Ariel
Death & Co.
Getting There
Medusa
A Birthday Present
Daddy
Fever 103°
Paralytic
Poppies in July
Contusion
Edge

Elizabeth Jennings: Selected Poems

From *Recoveries*:
Sequence in Hospital
Nerves
Father to Son
Domestic Dramas
Eighty-one Years Old
A New Pain

From *The Mind has Mountains*:
In a Mental Hospital Sitting-room
Diagnosis and Protest
Madness
Reflections on a Mental Hospital
The Interrogator
Night Sister
Words From Traherne: You cannot love too much, only in the wrong way
On a Friend's Relapse and Return to a Mental Clinic
Night Garden of the Asylum
A Baby Born in Hospital
Chinese Art
A Birthday In Hospital
Just Another Poem
Poem about the Breakdown of a Breakdown

APPENDIX B: ASSESSMENT CRITERIA FOR PAPER 1

Section A Band Descriptors

Notes on Implementation of Band Descriptors

The descriptors are intended as a guide to the likely characteristics of work in a particular mark band. It is not expected that all the listed characteristics will be present or that they will exist in equal proportions. It is essential that examiners exercise flexibility in mapping the descriptors to the work they are assessing.

Examiners' approach to the assessment of work should always be positive, based on what the candidate has written and never on what she/he has not written. In other words, work should not be penalised for failure to make points or adopt critical approaches and styles predetermined by examiners. However, where a candidate's execution of his/her chosen approach involves significant errors or omissions, these will be noted and will legitimately influence the mark awarded.

The descriptors do not list every possible literary feature that may be identified by candidates, but candidates will be rewarded for detailed comment on language, form and style such as: structure, genre, diction, syntactical devices, register, imagery, tone, rhythm, rhyme, linguistic patterns and dramatic qualities.

22–25

Very good work, showing discrimination and sometimes originality, in making an informed personal and critical response to the poems

Compares the two poems skilfully, and perhaps in original ways, moving between them with ease

Engages with the poems through detailed close analysis

Analyses with skill and discrimination ways in which writers' uses of poetic form, structure and language create the meanings of the poems

Evaluates the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language with a mature judgement and clear focus on the question and key issues

Develops a seamless, coherent response to the question

Uses quotation, paraphrase and critical terminology appositely and economically

Work in this band responds sensitively, perceptively and personally to the poems; it is often subtle, concise and sophisticated, with a style that is fluent and gives economic expression to complex ideas; at the upper end this work may be elegant and allusive

18–21

Proficient work, making an informed personal and critical response to the poems

Compares the two poems appropriately and skilfully

Engages with the poems through close analysis

Analyses with skill ways in which writers' uses of poetic form, structure and language create the meanings of the poems

Evaluates the effects of the writers' use of form and style and language with constant reference to the question

Develops a coherent response to the question

Uses quotation, paraphrase and some critical terminology appositely

Uses a style that is always clear, mostly fluent, capable of giving accurate expression to complex ideas

14–17

Competent work, making an informed critical response to the poems with some personal response

Compares the two poems in appropriate ways, though may discuss them separately at times

Makes a close analysis of the poems, at times simply following line by line

Analyses ways in which writers' uses of poetic form, structure and language create the meanings of the poems

Evaluates the effects of the writers' use of form and style and language

Develops a structured response to the question

Uses quotation, paraphrase and some critical terminology accurately and mostly appositely

Uses an effective style that is able to communicate clearly knowledge and understanding of the poems and the issues raised by poem and question, with only occasional lapses

10–13

Satisfactory work, making a response to the poems that shows sound knowledge and some personal response

Makes some comparison of the two poems, but tends to discuss them separately

Analyses the poems in a line-by-line fashion

Makes some analysis of ways in which writers' uses of form, style and language create the meanings of the poems

Responds mainly in terms of narration of the main features of the poems – with some attempt at evaluation of the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language – possibly with some misunderstanding

Responds to the question set most of the time though with some digression; assembles relevant points into a simply structured response

Makes use of quotation and paraphrase and may use some critical terminology, with some misunderstanding

Uses a basic style that is able to communicate knowledge and understanding of the poems and the issues raised by poem and question, with only occasional obscurity

6–9

Uneven work, making a response to the poems that shows some understanding

Discusses both poems but does not develop the comparison

Makes appropriate references to writers' uses of poetic form, structure and language with some analysis of the ways in which they create the meanings of the poems

Makes some attempt at evaluation of the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language – possibly with some misunderstanding

Responds to the question set at first, but tends to digress

Assembles points rather than analyses, tending to list points and make general assertions

Makes some use of paraphrase or quotation; limited reference to critical terminology

Uses a simple style, or possibly an over-complex style that succeeds most of the time in communicating knowledge and understanding of the poems

1–5

Some attempt to hold to poems and question, showing a simplistic approach to the task

The work is often brief, undeveloped, and exists as a series of points rather than as a line of argument

Expression is simple, technical errors are recurrent, and the work relies on narrative rather than analysis, although description is sometimes valid

0

To be awarded only where there is no evidence of any knowledge of, or response to the poems

Sections B and C Band Descriptors

Notes on Implementation of Band Descriptors

The descriptors are intended as a guide to the likely characteristics of work in a particular mark band. It is not expected that all the listed characteristics will be present or that they will exist in equal proportions. It is essential that examiners exercise flexibility in mapping the descriptors to the work they are assessing.

Examiners' approach to the assessment of work should always be positive, based on what the candidate has written and never on what she/he has not written. In other words, work should not be penalised for failure to make points or adopt critical approaches and styles predetermined by examiners. However, where a candidate's execution of his/her chosen approach involves significant errors or omissions, these will be noted and will legitimately influence the mark awarded.

The descriptors do not list every possible literary feature that may be identified by candidates, but candidates will be rewarded for detailed comment on language, form and style such as: structure, genre, diction, syntactical devices, register, imagery, tone, rhythm, rhyme, linguistic patterns and dramatic qualities.

22–25

Very good work, showing discrimination and sometimes originality, in making an informed personal and critical response to the text

Analyses with skill and discrimination ways in which writers' uses of form, structure and language create the meanings of the text

Evaluates the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language with a mature judgement and clear focus on the question and key issues

Develops a seamless, coherent argument relevant to the question

Demonstrates sophisticated understanding of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context

Supports with detailed, pertinent reference to the text, using quotation, paraphrase and critical terminology appositely and economically

Work in this band responds sensitively, perceptively and personally to the question set; is often subtle, concise and sophisticated, with a style that is fluent and gives economic expression to complex ideas; at the upper end this work may be elegant and allusive

18–21

Proficient work, making an informed personal and critical response to the text

Analyses with skill ways in which writers' uses of form, structure and language create the meanings of the text

Evaluates the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language with constant reference to the question

Develops a coherent argument relevant to the question

Demonstrates, where relevant, a good understanding of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context

Supports with detailed, pertinent reference to the text, using quotation, paraphrase and some critical terminology appositely

Uses a style that is always clear, mostly fluent; able to give accurate expression to complex ideas

14–17

Competent work, making an informed critical response to the text with some personal response

Analyses ways in which writers' uses of form, structure and language create the meanings of the text

Evaluates the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language

Develops a structured response to the question

Demonstrates a sound understanding of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context

Supports with appropriate reference to the text – at times too much or too little, using quotation, paraphrase and some critical terminology accurately and mostly appositely

Uses an effective style that is able to communicate clearly knowledge and understanding of the text and the issues raised by text and question, with only occasional lapses

10–13

Satisfactory work, making a response to the text that shows sound knowledge and some personal response

Makes some analysis of ways in which writers' uses of form, structure and language create the meanings of the text

Responds mainly in terms of narration of the main features of the text – with some attempt at evaluation of the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language – possibly with some misunderstanding

Responds to the question set most of the time within a simple structure though with some digression into generality

Demonstrates, at times, some awareness of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context

Supports some points with appropriate reference to the text, using quotation and paraphrase mostly accurately – perhaps uses some critical terminology, with some misunderstanding

Uses a basic style that is able to communicate knowledge and understanding of the text and the issues raised by text and question, with only occasional obscurity

6–9

Uneven work, making a response to the text that shows knowledge of the text

Makes appropriate references to writers' uses of form, structure and language with some analysis of the ways in which they create the meanings of the text

Makes some attempt at evaluation of the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language – possibly with some misunderstanding

Responds to the question set at first, but tends to digress and lacks structure

May demonstrate, at times, some awareness of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context, but without understanding of how this relates to the individual text

Supports some points with reference to the text; relies mostly on assertion, with repetition; uses quotation and paraphrase at times, possibly not wholly accurate, at too great a length and without discrimination

Uses a simple style, or possibly an over-complex style that succeeds most of the time in communicating knowledge and understanding of the text

1–5

Some attempt to hold to text and question showing a simplistic approach to the task

The work is often brief, undeveloped, and exists as a series of points rather than as a line of argument

Expression is simple, technical errors are recurrent, and the work relies on narrative rather than analysis, although description is sometimes valid

0

To be awarded only where there is no evidence of any knowledge of, or response to the text

APPENDIX C: ASSESSMENT CRITERIA FOR PAPERS 2 AND 3

Section A Band Descriptors

Notes on Implementation of Band Descriptors

The descriptors are intended as a guide to the likely characteristics of work in a particular mark band. It is not expected that all the listed characteristics will be present or that they will exist in equal proportions. It is essential that examiners exercise flexibility in mapping the descriptors to the work they are assessing.

Examiners' approach to the assessment of work should always be positive, based on what the candidate has written and never on what she/he has not written. In other words, work should not be penalised for failure to make points or adopt critical approaches and styles predetermined by examiners. However, where a candidate's execution of his/her chosen approach involves significant errors or omissions, these will be noted and will legitimately influence the mark awarded.

The descriptors do not list every possible literary feature that may be identified by candidates, but candidates will be rewarded for detailed comment on language, form and style such as: structure, genre, diction, syntactical devices, register, imagery, tone, rhythm, rhyme, linguistic patterns and dramatic qualities.

Candidates are to be rewarded for evidence of wider reading, though not penalised for the lack of any such evidence.

22–25

Very good work, showing discrimination and sometimes originality, in making an informed personal and critical response to the text(s)

Engages with the text(s) through detailed close analysis

Analyses with skill and discrimination ways in which writers' uses of form, structure and language create the meanings of the text(s)

Evaluates the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language with a mature judgement and clear focus on the question and key issues

Develops a seamless, coherent response to the question

Demonstrates sophisticated understanding of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context

Uses quotation, paraphrase and critical terminology appositely and economically

Work in this band responds sensitively, perceptively and personally to the text(s); it is often subtle, concise and sophisticated, with a style that is fluent and gives economic expression to complex ideas; at the upper end this work may be elegant and allusive

18–21

Proficient work, making an informed personal and critical response to the text(s)

Engages with the text(s) through close analysis

Analyses with skill ways in which writers' uses of form, structure and language create the meanings of the text(s)

Evaluates the effects of the writers' use of form and style and language with constant reference to the question

Develops a coherent response to the question

Demonstrates, where relevant, a good understanding of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context

Uses quotation, paraphrase and some critical terminology appositely

Uses a style that is always clear, mostly fluent, capable of giving accurate expression to complex ideas

14–17

Competent work, making an informed critical response to the text(s) with some personal response

Makes a close analysis of the text(s), at times simply following line by line

Analyses ways in which writers' uses of form, structure and language create the meanings of the text(s)

Evaluates the effects of the writers' use of form and style and language

Develops a structured response to the question

Demonstrates a sound understanding of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context

Uses quotation, paraphrase and some critical terminology accurately and mostly appositely

Uses an effective style that is able to communicate clearly knowledge and understanding of the text(s) and the issues raised by text and question, with only occasional lapses

10–13

Satisfactory work, making a response to the text(s) that shows sound knowledge and some personal response

Analyses the text(s) in a line-by-line fashion

Makes some analysis of ways in which writers' uses of form, style and language create the meanings of the text(s)

Responds mainly in terms of narration of the main features of the text(s) – with some attempt at evaluation of the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language – possibly with some misunderstanding

Responds to the question set most of the time though with some digression; assembles relevant points into a simply structured response

Demonstrates at times some awareness of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context

Makes use of quotation and paraphrase and may use some critical terminology, with some misunderstanding

Uses a basic style that is able to communicate knowledge and understanding of the text(s) and the issues raised by text and question, with only occasional obscurity

6–9

Uneven work, making a response to the text(s) that shows some understanding

Responds to the question set at first, while tending to digress

Makes appropriate references to writers' uses of poetic form, structure and language with some analysis of the ways in which they create the meanings of the text(s)

Makes some attempt at evaluation of the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language – possibly with some misunderstanding

Assembles points rather than analyses, tending to list points and make general assertions

May demonstrate at times some awareness of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context, but without understanding of how this relates to the individual text

Makes some use of paraphrase or quotation; limited reference to critical terminology

Uses a simple style, or possibly an over-complex style that succeeds most of the time in communicating knowledge and understanding of the text(s)

1–5

Some attempt to hold to text and question, showing a simplistic approach to the task

The work is often brief, undeveloped, and exists as a series of points rather than as a line of argument

Expression is simple, technical errors are recurrent, and the work relies on narrative rather than analysis, although description is sometimes valid

0

To be awarded only where there is no evidence of any knowledge of, or response to the text

Section B Band descriptors

Candidates must answer on different texts in Sections B and C and will not be rewarded for any overlap of material.

In Section B, candidates must compare two texts, and must therefore give roughly equal weight to the two texts in their answer.

Notes on Implementation of Band Descriptors

The descriptors are intended as a guide to the likely characteristics of work in a particular mark band. It is not expected that all the listed characteristics will be present or that they will exist in equal proportions. It is essential that examiners exercise flexibility in mapping the descriptors to the work they are assessing.

Examiners' approach to the assessment of work should always be positive, based on what the candidate has written and never on what she/he has not written. In other words, work should not be penalised for failure to make points or adopt critical approaches and styles predetermined by examiners. However, where a candidate's execution of his/her chosen approach involves significant errors or omissions, these will be noted and will legitimately influence the mark awarded.

The descriptors do not list every possible literary feature that may be identified by candidates, but candidates will be rewarded for detailed comment on language, form and style such as: structure, genre, diction, syntactical devices, register, imagery, tone, rhythm, rhyme, linguistic patterns and dramatic qualities.

22–25

Very good work, showing discrimination and sometimes originality, in making an informed personal and critical response to the texts

Compares the two texts skilfully, and perhaps in original ways, moving between them with ease

Analyses with skill and discrimination ways in which writers' uses of form, structure and language create the meanings of the texts

Evaluates the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language with a mature judgement and clear focus on the question and key issues

Develops a seamless, coherent argument relevant to the question

Demonstrates sophisticated understanding of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context

Supports with detailed, pertinent reference to the texts, using quotation, paraphrase and critical terminology appositely and economically

Work in this band responds sensitively, perceptively and personally to the question set; is often subtle, concise and sophisticated, with a style that is fluent and gives economic expression to complex ideas; at the upper end this work may be elegant and allusive

18–21

Proficient work making an informed personal and critical response to the texts

Compares the two texts appropriately and skilfully

Analyses with skill ways in which writers' uses of form, structure and language create the meanings of the texts

Evaluates the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language with constant reference to the question

Develops a coherent argument relevant to the question

Demonstrates, where relevant, a good understanding of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context

Supports with detailed, pertinent reference to the texts, using quotation, paraphrase and some critical terminology appositely

Uses a style that is always clear, mostly fluent; able to give accurate expression to complex ideas

14–17

Competent work, making an informed critical response to the texts with some personal response

Compares the two texts in appropriate ways, though may discuss them separately at times

Analyses ways in which writers' uses of form, structure and language create the meanings of the texts

Evaluates the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language

Develops a structured response to the question

Demonstrates a sound understanding of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context

Supports with appropriate reference to the texts – at times too much or too little, using quotation, paraphrase and some critical terminology accurately and mostly appositely

Uses an effective style that is able to communicate clearly knowledge and understanding of the texts and the issues raised by text and question, with only occasional lapses

10–13

Satisfactory work, making a response to the texts that shows sound knowledge and some personal response

Makes some comparison of the two texts, but tends to discuss them separately

Makes some analysis of ways in which writers' uses of form, structure and language create the meanings of the texts

Responds mainly in terms of narration of the main features of the text – with some attempt at evaluation of the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language – possibly with some misunderstanding

Responds to the question set most of the time within a simple structure though with some digression into generality

Demonstrates, at times, some awareness of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context

Supports some points with appropriate reference to the texts, using quotation and paraphrase mostly accurately – perhaps uses some critical terminology, with some misunderstanding

Uses a basic style that is able to communicate knowledge and understanding of the texts and the issues raised by text and question, with only occasional obscurity

6–9

Uneven work, making a response to the texts that shows knowledge of the texts

Discusses both texts but does not develop the comparison

Makes appropriate references to writers' uses of form, structure and language with some analysis of the ways in which they create the meanings of the texts

Makes some attempt at evaluation of the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language – possibly with some misunderstanding

Responds to the question set at first, tends to digress and lacks structure

May demonstrate at times some awareness of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context, but without understanding of how this relates to the individual text

Supports some points with reference to the texts but relies mostly on assertion, with repetition; uses quotation and paraphrase at times, possibly not wholly accurately, at too great a length and without discrimination

Uses a simple style, or possibly an over-complex style that succeeds most of the time in communicating knowledge and understanding of the texts

1–5

Some attempt to hold to texts and question, showing a simplistic approach to the task

The work is often brief, undeveloped, and exists as a series of points rather than as a line of argument

Expression is simple, technical errors are recurrent, and the work relies on narrative rather than analysis, although description is sometimes valid

0

To be awarded only where there is no evidence of any knowledge of, or response to the text

Section C Band descriptors

Candidates must answer on different texts in Sections B and C and will not be rewarded for any overlap of material.

Notes on Implementation of Band Descriptors

The descriptors are intended as a guide to the likely characteristics of work in a particular mark band. It is not expected that all the listed characteristics will be present or that they will exist in equal proportions. It is essential that examiners exercise flexibility in mapping the descriptors to the work they are assessing.

Examiners' approach to the assessment of work should always be positive, based on what the candidate has written and never on what she/he has not written. In other words, work should not be penalised for failure to make points or adopt critical approaches and styles predetermined by examiners. However, where a candidate's execution of his/her chosen approach involves significant errors or omissions, these will be noted and will legitimately influence the mark awarded.

The descriptors do not list every possible literary feature that may be identified by candidates, but candidates will be rewarded for detailed comment on language, form and style such as: structure, genre, diction, syntactical devices, register, imagery, tone, rhythm, rhyme, linguistic patterns and dramatic qualities.

22–25

Very good work, showing discrimination and sometimes originality, in making an informed personal and critical response to the text

Analyses with skill and discrimination ways in which writers' uses of form, structure and language create the meanings of the text

Evaluates the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language with a mature judgement and clear focus on the question and key issues

Develops a seamless, coherent argument relevant to the question

Demonstrates sophisticated understanding of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context

Supports with detailed, pertinent reference to the text, using quotation, paraphrase and critical terminology appositely and economically

Work in this band responds sensitively, perceptively and personally to the question set; is often subtle, concise and sophisticated, with a style that is fluent and gives economic expression to complex ideas; at the upper end this work may be elegant and allusive

18–21

Proficient work, making an informed personal and critical response to the text

Analyses with skill ways in which writers' uses of form, structure and language create the meanings of the text

Evaluates the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language with constant reference to the question

Develops a coherent argument relevant to the question

Demonstrates, where relevant, a good understanding of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context

Supports with detailed, pertinent reference to the text, using quotation, paraphrase and some critical terminology appositely

Uses a style that is always clear, mostly fluent; able to give accurate expression to complex ideas

14–17

Competent work, making an informed critical response to the text with some personal response

Analyses ways in which writers' uses of form, structure and language create the meanings of the text

Evaluates the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language

Develops a structured response to the question

Demonstrates a sound understanding of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context

Supports with appropriate reference to the text – at times too much or too little, using quotation, paraphrase and some critical terminology accurately and mostly appositely

Uses an effective style that is able to communicate clearly knowledge and understanding of the text and the issues raised by text and question, with only occasional lapses

10–13

Satisfactory work, making a response to the text that shows sound knowledge and some personal response

Makes some analysis of ways in which writers' uses of form, structure and language create the meanings of the text

Responds mainly in terms of narration of the main features of the text – with some attempt at evaluation of the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language – possibly with some misunderstanding

Responds to the question set most of the time within a simple structure though with some digression into generality

Demonstrates, at times, some awareness of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context

Supports some points with appropriate reference to the text, using quotation and paraphrase mostly accurately – perhaps uses some critical terminology, with some misunderstanding

Uses a basic style that is able to communicate knowledge and understanding of the text and the issues raised by text and question, with only occasional obscurity

6–9

Uneven work, making a response to the text that shows knowledge of the text

Makes appropriate references to writers' uses of form, structure and language with some analysis of the ways in which they create the meanings of the text

Makes some attempt at evaluation of the effects of the writers' use of form, style and language – possibly with some misunderstanding

Responds to the question set at first, tends to digress and lacks structure

May demonstrate, at times, some awareness of the literary context of the text – in terms of period/theme/genre/historical context, but without understanding of how this relates to the individual text

Supports some points with reference to the text; relies mostly on assertion, with repetition; uses quotation and paraphrase at times, possibly not wholly nor accurately, at too great a length and without discrimination

Uses a simple style, or possibly an over-complex style that succeeds most of the time in communicating knowledge and understanding of the text

1–5

Some attempt to hold to text and question, showing a simplistic approach to the task

The work is often brief, undeveloped, and exists as a series of points rather than as a line of argument

Expression is simple, technical errors are recurrent, and the work relies on narrative rather than analysis, although description is sometimes valid

0

To be awarded only where there is no evidence of any knowledge of, or response to the text

**APPENDIX D:
EDITIONS USED FOR SETTING QUESTIONS**

Note: These are not prescribed editions. However, Centres may wish to consult this list before deciding which editions their candidates should purchase.

Paper 1: Reading Literature

Author	Title of Book	Publisher
Mary Shelley	<i>Frankenstein</i>	Penguin
F Scott Fitzgerald	<i>The Great Gatsby</i>	Penguin
Anita Desai	<i>Baumgartner's Bombay</i>	Vintage
Jane Austen	<i>Pride and Prejudice</i>	Penguin
Tom Stoppard	<i>Arcadia</i>	Faber
William Shakespeare	<i>Measure for Measure</i>	Collins (ed. Alexander)
George Bernard Shaw	<i>Saint Joan</i>	Penguin
Arthur Miller	<i>Playing for Time</i> (stage play version)	Nick Hern

Paper 2: The English Renaissance (1509–1660)

Author	Title of Book	Publisher
Ben Jonson	Selected Poems (from <i>The Complete Poems</i>)	Penguin
Andrew Marvell	Selected Poems (from <i>The Complete Poems</i>)	Penguin
Sir Philip Sidney	Selected Sonnets from 'Astrophil and Stella' (from <i>The Major Works</i>)	OUP
Francis Bacon	Selected Prose (from <i>The Major Works</i>)	OUP
Thomas Nashe	<i>The Unfortunate Traveller</i>	Penguin
Thomas Kyd	<i>The Spanish Tragedy</i>	Methuen
Christopher Marlowe	<i>Doctor Faustus</i> (A-Text)	Methuen
Thomas Middleton	<i>Women Beware Women</i>	OUP
William Shakespeare	<i>The Tempest</i>	Collins (ed. Alexander)

Paper 3: The Mind and Self in Literature

Author	Title of Book	Publisher
Sylvia Plath	<i>Ariel</i>	Faber
Elizabeth Jennings	Selected Poems (from <i>The Collected Poems</i>)	Carcanet
William Wordsworth	<i>The Prelude, Book One and Book Two</i> (1805 version)	Penguin
James Joyce	<i>A Portrait of the Artist as a Young Man</i>	Penguin
J M Coetzee	<i>Age of Iron</i>	Penguin
Pat Barker	<i>Regeneration</i>	Penguin
Alan Ayckbourn	<i>Woman in Mind</i>	Faber
Edward Albee	<i>Who's Afraid of Virginia Woolf?</i>	Vintage
William Shakespeare	<i>Hamlet</i>	Collins (ed. Alexander)